

FALSE ENGINES OF SHUTDOWN

Forget 10%. 1% is enough.

With a false positive rate of just 1%, even if no virus existed, 1 out of every 100 people tested would be 'infected.'

For every 100 people tested, that's 1 more for the nightmare 'reason-to-lock-down-again' graph.

For every 1,000, it's 10.
(And it's *NOT* that 1% of those 10 'cases' is a false positive... ALL of them are).

For every 10,000... 100.
(It's *NOT* that 1% of those 100 'cases' is a false positive... ALL of them are).

For every 100,000... 1,000.
(It's *NOT* that 1% of those 1,000 'cases' is a false positive... ALL of them are).

For every 1,000,000... 10,000.
(It's *NOT* that 1% of those 10,000 'cases' is a false positive... ALL of them are).

In this example, which shows the TRUE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FALSE POSITIVE RATE, independent of any disease that *is* or *isn't* there (which would be determined by the other 99% of *presumably accurate* tests!), 100% of 'cases' are false positives. Even though the false positive rate is just 1%.

People understandably get confused over this. We would generally assume that the 1% applies to the *number of positives* that we might hear stated on the news- when in actual fact it applies to the *number of tests overall*. There is a BIG difference in this!

Whatever population you're studying, look at the numbers of people tested and do the maths. Accurately, from *the number of tests* administered. And using the most reliable false positive rate you can find.

In the example stated in this article, the large number of 'cases' is purely based on false positives. Not even taking into account the addition of *any real cases*!

Should the world be shut down for false positives alone?

Because given this example, it would appear to be all that it takes. All that is necessary. To inspire mass panic and shutdown.

And for as long as we're testing like this, it will be.

~Nathan Godolphin,
11/01/21.